

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,499	06/03/2005	Chiaki Kanai	11287-458	3466
29175 7590 02/06/2008 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690			SIDDIQUEE, MUHAMMAD S	IUHAMMAD S
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4151	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/537,499 KANALET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MUHAMMAD SIDDIQUEE 4151 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 June 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 20-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Applicant may not request that any especial to the diaming(o) so not in assignment. See or of it needs,

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

- 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-65/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/18/2005 and 4/17/2007.	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Actine of Informal Pater Lepplication. 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/537,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 4151

DETAILED ACTION

Flection/Restrictions

Claims 22-38 were withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected fuel cell with proton conductor, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 1/3/2008. Claims 20 and 21 are examined on the merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed on 4/17/2007 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because NPL documents cited in the IDS are not provided by the applicants. All documents are considered except the NPL. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/537,499 Art Unit: 4151

 Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasutaka (JP 06-132038).

Regarding claim 20, Yasutaka discloses a hydrogen gas humidity control apparatus, comprising a first hydrogen flow path or chamber (14) thereof to which at least hydrogen gas is supplied; a second hydrogen flow path or chamber (13) thereof to which at least hydrogen gas is supplied; and a solid-state polyelectrolyte film (moisture carrier) for separating the first hydrogen flow path or chamber (14) thereof from the second hydrogen flow path or chamber (13) thereof and for allowing at least one of water and water vapor to pass there through [Fig. 1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,499
Art Unit: 4151

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasutaka (JP 06-132038) in view of Sugawara (US 2001/0031386 A1).

Regarding claim 21, Yasutaka discloses a solid polymer electrolyte fuel cell comprising a gas humidifier which uses fuel gas for the fuel cell. Yasutaka fails to disclose that fuel gas is hydrogen and hydrogen is generated by fuel reforming.

However, Sugawara teaches a fuel cell power generation system where hydrogen for the fuel cell is generated by fuel reforming [Abstract]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use hydrogen gas from fuel reforming process in order to have pure hydrogen for efficient operation and readily available within the system.

Conclusion

The other "X" references in the search report were not used since only one was required to reject the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,499

Art Unit: 4151

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MUHAMMAD SIDDIQUEE whose telephone number is (571)270-3719. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mikhail Kornakov can be reached on 571-272-1303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)? If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MSS /Michael Kornakov/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4151